

Application No. 09/649,827

Applicant(s)

SCHROCK et al

Interview Summary Examiner

ner

Art Unit

John GALLAGHER

1733



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative) PT	O personnel):
(1) John GALLAGHER	(3)
(2) Mr. Andrew Paret	(4)
Date of Interview Oct 18, 2002	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	at 2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes	e) 🛮 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: ALPED-16 CAN Identification of prior art discussed: ALPED-16 ALP	m serepary
Identification of prior art discussed:	66-SERGELY
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reach	ed. g)☑ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
THE DISCULSION CENTRES OF TUCONDITION FOR PREVIOUS SERVED	Fral nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or POSSIBLE WANTO PLAKETHINGHE FORTION AT PRESE TO LINEA 19-28 JUL FIX LIEX (QUI RIPECIDLEX RESERVE TITMENT, BUT THE READ WERE TO RECONSTITUTED TO SECONSTITUTED SECONSTITUT
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where navailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	endments which the examiner agreed would render the claims to copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Nalready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH F	parate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE cord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	JOHN J. GALLAGHER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 131 / > 3 Examiner signature, if required

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Paper No.